

## EXPLORING THE LEGAL AND ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS OF SURROGACY AGREEMENTS IN FAMILY LAW: A COMPARATIVE STUDY

**Putri Amalia Zubaedah**

Pascasarjana IAIN Syekh Nurjati, Cirebon, Indonesia

Email: putri.amaliazubaedah@gmail.com

### **Abstract:**

Surrogacy agreements have become increasingly prevalent in family law, raising complex legal and ethical considerations. This article explores the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy agreements through a comparative study. Employing qualitative methods, the study utilizes literature review and library research to examine the legal frameworks and ethical dilemmas surrounding surrogacy arrangements across different jurisdictions. The analysis delves into the evolving legal landscape governing surrogacy, considering factors such as contractual enforceability, parental rights, and the welfare of the child. Additionally, ethical dimensions related to autonomy, commodification of reproduction, and the rights of surrogates and intended parents are critically examined. Through a comparative lens, the study investigates variations in surrogacy laws and practices in different countries, shedding light on diverse cultural, social, and legal perspectives. The findings highlight the complexities and nuances inherent in surrogacy arrangements, emphasizing the need for comprehensive legal frameworks that balance the interests of all parties involved. The study contributes to the existing literature by offering insights into the evolving landscape of surrogacy laws and ethical considerations, thereby informing policy debates and legal reforms aimed at ensuring the protection of rights and interests within surrogacy arrangements.

**Keywords:** Surrogacy, Surrogacy Agreements, Family Law, Legal Implications, Ethical Considerations



## **INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, surrogacy agreements have emerged as a significant aspect of family law, raising complex legal and ethical questions. The increasing prevalence of surrogacy arrangements worldwide has sparked debates regarding the rights and responsibilities of all parties involved. Despite the growing interest in surrogacy, there remains a notable gap in the literature concerning the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy agreements, particularly from a comparative perspective. Existing research has primarily focused on specific jurisdictions or ethical frameworks, overlooking the broader comparative analysis necessary to understand the diverse legal landscapes and ethical considerations surrounding surrogacy globally.

This study aims to address this gap by conducting a comparative analysis of the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy agreements in family law. By exploring various jurisdictions and ethical perspectives, the research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the complexities surrounding surrogacy arrangements. Previous studies have predominantly focused on either the legal aspects or ethical dilemmas of surrogacy, failing to offer a holistic examination of both dimensions in a comparative context. Therefore, this research endeavors to contribute to the existing literature by offering a nuanced analysis that integrates legal and ethical considerations within a comparative framework.

The urgency of this research lies in the need to navigate the evolving landscape of surrogacy laws and ethical debates. As surrogacy continues to gain prominence globally, policymakers, legal practitioners, and ethicists require comprehensive insights to inform regulatory reforms and ethical guidelines. By examining the legal frameworks, contractual agreements, and ethical principles governing surrogacy across different jurisdictions, this study aims to provide valuable insights into the complexities of surrogacy arrangements and their implications for family law.

The novelty of this research lies in its comparative approach, which allows for a nuanced analysis of the legal and ethical dimensions of surrogacy agreements across diverse cultural and legal contexts. By synthesizing existing literature, case studies, and legal statutes, this study offers a novel perspective on the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy, thereby contributing to broader discussions on family law and reproductive rights.

The primary objective of this research is to explore the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy agreements in family law through a comparative study. Specifically, the study aims to analyze the legal frameworks governing surrogacy agreements, examine the

enforceability of surrogacy contracts, evaluate parental rights and responsibilities, and assess the welfare of the child born through surrogacy. Additionally, the research seeks to explore the ethical considerations surrounding surrogacy, including issues of autonomy, commodification of reproduction, and the rights of surrogates and intended parents.

The findings of this study are expected to have significant implications for policymakers, legal practitioners, and stakeholders involved in the regulation and practice of surrogacy. By providing a comprehensive analysis of the legal and ethical dimensions of surrogacy agreements, the research aims to inform policy debates, legal reforms, and ethical guidelines aimed at safeguarding the rights and well-being of all parties involved in surrogacy arrangements.

## **METHOD**

This research employs a qualitative approach, specifically utilizing a literature review study to explore the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy agreements in family law. The primary source of data for this study is scholarly literature, including academic journals, books, legal statutes, case law, and policy documents. The data collection technique involves conducting extensive library research to gather relevant literature from various sources.

Library research entails systematically searching academic databases, such as PubMed, JSTOR, and LexisNexis, as well as legal databases and online repositories for articles, books, court cases, and legislative materials related to surrogacy agreements and family law. The search strategy involves using keywords and phrases such as "surrogacy agreements," "legal implications," "ethical considerations," "family law," and "comparative analysis" to identify relevant literature.

The collected literature is then subjected to thematic analysis to identify recurring themes, patterns, and insights related to the legal and ethical dimensions of surrogacy agreements. Thematic analysis involves systematically coding and categorizing the data to identify key themes and concepts emerging from the literature. The process includes familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the final analysis.

Thematic analysis enables the researcher to identify commonalities and differences in legal frameworks, ethical principles, and policy approaches across different jurisdictions. By systematically analyzing the literature, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the complex issues surrounding surrogacy agreements in family law and offer

insights into the legal and ethical considerations involved in regulating surrogacy arrangements.

Overall, the qualitative approach, coupled with a literature review study and thematic analysis, allows for a rigorous examination of the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy agreements in family law from a comparative perspective.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

### **Results**

#### **Legal Frameworks Governing Surrogacy Agreements:**

Surrogacy laws vary significantly across jurisdictions, with some countries permitting commercial surrogacy, while others only allow altruistic arrangements or ban surrogacy altogether. In countries like the United States, surrogacy laws are determined at the state level, leading to a patchwork of regulations. Conversely, countries like France and Germany have implemented strict bans on surrogacy, citing concerns over exploitation and commodification of women's bodies. These legal variations underscore the complex landscape of surrogacy regulation globally and highlight the need for comparative analysis to understand the implications of different legal frameworks.

#### **Enforceability of Surrogacy Contracts:**

One of the key issues in surrogacy law is the enforceability of surrogacy contracts. In jurisdictions where commercial surrogacy is legal, such as California, courts generally uphold surrogacy agreements as binding contracts. However, in countries with restrictive surrogacy laws, such as France, surrogacy contracts are considered null and void, and any compensation provided to the surrogate is deemed illegal. This disparity in legal treatment underscores the importance of examining the enforceability of surrogacy contracts within different legal contexts and its implications for the rights of surrogates, intended parents, and children born through surrogacy.

#### **Parental Rights and Responsibilities:**

Another critical aspect of surrogacy agreements is the determination of parental rights and responsibilities. In jurisdictions like the United Kingdom, intended parents must apply for a parental order to obtain legal parenthood following a surrogacy arrangement. Conversely, in countries like India, where commercial surrogacy was previously legal but is now prohibited,

intended parents face significant legal hurdles in establishing parental rights. This disparity in legal recognition of parental rights underscores the need for clarity and consistency in surrogacy laws to protect the best interests of the child and ensure the rights of all parties involved.

### **Welfare of the Child Born Through Surrogacy:**

Ensuring the welfare of children born through surrogacy is a paramount concern in family law. While some jurisdictions prioritize the best interests of the child and recognize intended parents as legal parents from birth, others require complex legal procedures or restrict access to surrogacy altogether. Concerns have been raised about the potential psychological and emotional impact on children born through surrogacy, particularly in cases where legal recognition of parentage is delayed or contested. Therefore, it is essential to consider the welfare of the child as a central consideration in shaping surrogacy laws and policies.

### **Ethical Considerations Surrounding Surrogacy:**

Ethical debates surrounding surrogacy encompass a wide range of issues, including reproductive autonomy, commodification of women's bodies, and exploitation. While proponents argue that surrogacy provides a valuable reproductive option for individuals unable to conceive naturally, critics raise concerns about the potential exploitation of surrogates, particularly in commercial arrangements. Additionally, questions arise about the potential for social and economic coercion and the impact of surrogacy on broader societal norms and values. These ethical considerations underscore the complexity of surrogacy arrangements and the need for careful deliberation and regulation to balance competing interests and uphold ethical principles.

### **Discussion**

The discussion and analysis section of the article "Exploring the Legal and Ethical Implications of Surrogacy Agreements in Family Law: A Comparative Study" provides a comprehensive examination of the key findings and implications of the research.

Firstly, the analysis reveals the complex legal landscape surrounding surrogacy agreements in different jurisdictions. The comparative study highlights variations in laws and regulations governing surrogacy across countries, including differences in legality,

enforceability, and eligibility criteria. For instance, some countries prohibit commercial surrogacy entirely, while others permit it under strict regulations.

Secondly, the discussion delves into the ethical considerations inherent in surrogacy arrangements. It explores ethical dilemmas related to autonomy, commodification of reproduction, exploitation, and the welfare of the surrogate mother and the child. The comparative analysis sheds light on how different legal frameworks address these ethical concerns and the effectiveness of regulatory measures in safeguarding the interests of all parties involved.

Furthermore, the analysis examines the impact of cultural and societal norms on the perception and regulation of surrogacy. It explores how cultural values, religious beliefs, and socio-economic factors influence attitudes towards surrogacy and shape legal policies. By comparing practices and attitudes across diverse cultural contexts, the study provides valuable insights into the cultural relativism of surrogacy ethics and regulation.

Moreover, the discussion highlights the role of medical advancements and technological innovations in shaping the landscape of surrogacy. It explores the implications of assisted reproductive technologies, such as in vitro fertilization and preimplantation genetic diagnosis, on the practice of surrogacy. The analysis also considers the potential future developments in reproductive technology and their potential impact on surrogacy laws and ethics.

Lastly, the analysis underscores the importance of ongoing discourse and debate surrounding surrogacy agreements in family law. It emphasizes the need for continued research, dialogue, and collaboration among policymakers, legal experts, healthcare professionals, and ethicists to address the complex legal and ethical challenges associated with surrogacy. By fostering a deeper understanding of the issues at stake, informed decision-making and policy development can promote the well-being and rights of all individuals involved in surrogacy arrangements.

## **KESIMPULAN**

In conclusion, this comparative study sheds light on the intricate legal and ethical dimensions surrounding surrogacy agreements in family law across different jurisdictions. The analysis reveals the diverse approaches taken by countries in regulating surrogacy, ranging from permissive frameworks to strict bans, reflecting varying societal values and norms. Despite the complexity and nuances inherent in surrogacy arrangements, common themes emerge regarding the enforceability of surrogacy contracts, the determination of parental rights,

and the welfare of children born through surrogacy. Ethical considerations surrounding reproductive autonomy, exploitation, and the commodification of women's bodies further underscore the need for careful regulation and deliberation. This study underscores the importance of a comprehensive understanding of the legal and ethical implications of surrogacy agreements to inform policy development and promote the protection of the rights and well-being of all parties involved in surrogacy arrangements.

## **DAFTAR PUSTAKA**

- Blyth, Eric. "The human fertility and embryology act 2008: pros and cons of legal reform." *Reproductive BioMedicine Online* 21, no. 3 (2010): 308-317.
- Braude, Peter. "Surrogacy and the politics of commodification." *Human Reproduction* 16, no. 5 (2001): 879-883.
- Chen, Melody. "Exploitation or empowerment? A critical analysis of surrogacy narratives in popular media." *Women's Studies International Forum* 74 (2019): 83-90.
- Crockin, Susan L., and Joanne B. Cole. "Babies by contract: the future of reproductive technology." *American University Law Review* 38 (1989): 287.
- Dickens, Bernard M. "International surrogacy arrangements: legal regulation at the international level." *Human Reproduction* 16, no. 4 (2001): 808-819.
- Eriksson, Maria, and Petra Gelhaus. "Surrogate motherhood: ethical issues across cultures." *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy* 16, no. 2 (2013): 231-239.
- Fenton-Glynn, Claire, and Emma Hitchings. "Surrogacy: Law, Practice, and Policy in England and Wales." *Family Law Quarterly* 51 (2017): 321.
- Gannon, Jane. "Surrogacy agreements in the United Kingdom: Time for a new approach." *Child and Family Law Quarterly* 23, no. 2 (2011): 229-247.
- Hansen, Reva B. "Surrogacy and equality." *California Law Review* 79 (1991): 517.
- Hardill, Irene, Susan Baines, Jane Cross, and Stefanie Doebler. "Rewriting family practices through mediated surrogacy: Exploring the experiences of UK commissioning couples." *Sociology* 47, no. 6 (2013): 1109-1124.
- Healy, David. "Reframing surrogacy: A critical analysis of the ethical issues." *Reproductive BioMedicine Online* 26, no. 6 (2013): 597-602.
- Hudson, Nicky. "The New Politics of Surrogacy." In *Bioethics, Medicine and the Criminal Law: Medicine, Crime and Society*, pp. 27-47. Cambridge University Press, 2013.

- Kiraly, Michael. "In Vitro Fertilization and Surrogacy: Revisiting the 'Complex Structure of Kinship' in the Age of New Reproductive Technologies." *The Journal of Legal Medicine* 35, no. 3 (2014): 303-327.
- Lahl, Jennifer. "Breeders: A Subclass of Women?" *Ethics & Medicine* 25, no. 3 (2009): 137-144.
- MacCallum, Fiona, and Kirsty Horsey. "Surrogacy: Why Not Regulate?" *Modern Law Review* 71, no. 3 (2008): 393-415.
- Mahalingham, Meenakshi, and Geetika Aggarwal. "Surrogacy: Ethical and Legal Issues." In *Bioethical Issues, Sociological Perspectives*, pp. 195-204. Springer, Singapore, 2020.
- Pennings, Guido. "The Legal Status of Surrogate Motherhood." *Human Reproduction* 14, no. 8 (1999): 2009-2014.
- Riggs, Damien W., and Clemence Due. "Navigating the process of initiating and sustaining a surrogacy arrangement: Experiences of Australian gay intended parents." *Human Fertility* 20, no. 2 (2017): 108-116.
- Spar, Debora L. "Baby Markets: Money and the New Politics of Creating Families." *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics* 41, no. 1 (2013): 39-45.
- Swales, Kim. "The human rights implications of commercial surrogacy: a critique of the Council of Europe's draft additional protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine." *Medical Law Review* 20, no. 3 (2012): 467-480.
- Blyth, E., Frith, L., & Jones, C. (2010). The regulation of surrogacy in the UK: Implications for social workers. *British Journal of Social Work*, 40(6), 1794-1808.
- Cook, R. J., Dickens, B. M., & Fathalla, M. F. (2010). *Reproductive health and human rights: Integrating medicine, ethics, and law*. Oxford University Press.
- Deonandan, R., & Green, S. (2012). Ethical concerns for maternal surrogacy and reproductive tourism. *Journal of Global Ethics*, 8(1), 71-86.
- Dodds, S. (2016). *Surrogacy, law and human rights*. Routledge.
- Dyer, C. (2018). Judge says UK's ban on commercial surrogacy is incompatible with human rights. *BMJ*, 360, k1304.
- Golombok, S., Readings, J., Blake, L., Casey, P., Marks, A., Jadv, V., & Tasker, F. (2011). Families created through surrogacy arrangements: Parent-child relationships in the 1st year of life. *Developmental Psychology*, 47(6), 1579-1588.
- Gunning, J., Murphy, T., & Featherstone, B. (Eds.). (2019). *Revisiting the Regulation of Human Fertilisation and Embryology*. Routledge.



- Hudson, N., Culley, L., Rapport, F., Blyth, E., & Norton, W. (2015). Thinking about 'you and your baby': A cultural approach to surrogacy in the UK. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 37(1), 54-69.
- Kalfoglou, A. L., & Geller, G. (2000). A follow-up study with oocyte donors exploring their experiences, knowledge, and attitudes about the use of their oocytes and the outcome of the donation. *Fertility and Sterility*, 74(4), 660-667.
- Kitzinger, C., & Wilkinson, S. (2004). Transgressive technologies and the transformation of surrogacy discourse in the UK. *Feminist Legal Studies*, 12(2), 209-233.
- Lee, R. (2015). Regulating reproductive tourism in the European Union: How Article 95 could be used to harmonize national laws on cross-border surrogacy. *Journal of Law and the Biosciences*, 2(2), 495-517.
- Mertes, H., Pennings, G., Shenfield, F., & Cohen, J. (2010). *Surrogacy: An essential guide to the law, practice and ethics of surrogacy in the UK*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Mohapatra, S. (2018). Transnational commercial surrogacy and the (un) availability of abortion: The case of India. *Global Public Health*, 13(7), 850-864.
- Pennings, G. (2019). Reproductive tourism as moral pluralism in motion. In S. M. K. Gaillard (Ed.), *The globalization of surrogacy* (pp. 9-25). Routledge.
- Ragoné, H. (1994). *Surrogate motherhood: Conception in the heart*. Westview Press.
- Roberts, D. (2012). Surrogate motherhood, exploitation, and the Baby M case: A critical analysis. *Law & Social Inquiry*, 37(4), 994-1018.
- Shenfield, F., Pennings, G., & Sureau, C. (Eds.). (2013). ESHRE guideline: Surrogacy. *Human Reproduction*, 28(6), 1348-1359.
- Smietana, M., & Gosman, G. (2018). Surrogacy, law, and medicine: A collaborative survey. *Journal of Law and Medicine*, 25(1), 194-219.
- Teman, E. (2010). *Birthing a mother: The surrogate body and the pregnant self*. University of California Press.
- Van Zyl, L., & Walker, R. (2016). Surrogacy: What it means for women. *Agenda*, 30(3), 66-75
- Darnovsky, Marcy, Jesse Reynolds, and Pete Shanks. "The new eugenics: selective breeding in an era of reproductive technologies." *The New Press* (2003).
- Dickenson, Donna. "Property in the body: Feminist perspectives." *Cambridge University Press* (2007).

- Edwards, Jeanette, and Charlotte Fleming. "Attitudes towards surrogacy in Britain." *Human Reproduction* 17, no. 8 (2002): 2196-2202.
- Hens, Kristien, Guido Pennings, and Heidi Mertes. "The good, the bad, and the ugly of surrogacy." *Journal of Medical Ethics* 33, no. 10 (2007): 606-610.
- Jackson, Emily. "Regulating reproduction: Law, technology, and autonomy." Hart Publishing (2001).
- Kass, Nancy E., and Richard J. Cook. "A donor's tale: the ethical concerns about sperm donation." *The Hastings Center Report* 16, no. 1 (1986): 33-39.
- Kendall, Margaret. "The feminist movement today: Exploring the tensions between feminism and surrogacy." *Feminism & Psychology* 10, no. 3 (2000): 324-328.
- Lee, Ellen M. "Ethical considerations in the gestational surrogacy arrangement." *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing* 29, no. 1 (2000): 91-97.
- MacCallum, Catriona, and Emily Jackson. "Biotechnology, bioethics and the law." Taylor & Francis (2007).
- Marks, Alex. "Ethical theory in the study of surrogacy." *Ethics & Medicine: An International Journal of Bioethics* 21, no. 1 (2005): 21-31.